

REGULAR NORM AND THE OPERATOR SEMINORM ON A NON-UNITAL COMPLEX COMMUTATIVE BANACH ALGEBRA

ADAM ORENSTEIN

ABSTRACT. We show that if $\mathfrak{A}$ is a commutative complex non-unital Banach Algebra with norm $\|\cdot\|$, then $\|\cdot\|$ is regular on $\mathfrak{A}$ if and only if $\|\cdot\|_{op}$ is a norm on $\mathfrak{A} \oplus \mathbb{C}$ and $\mathfrak{A} \oplus \mathbb{C}$ is a commutative complex Banach Algebra with respect to $\|\cdot\|_{op}$.

1. BACKGROUND

Let $\mathfrak{A}$ be complex non-unital Banach Algebra $\mathfrak{A}$ with norm $\|\cdot\|$ and $\mathfrak{A}_1^+$ be the unitization of $\mathfrak{A}$ with norm $\|\cdot\|_1$ defined by $\|(a, \lambda)\|_1 = \|a\| + |\lambda|$ for all $a \in \mathfrak{A}$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$. More about the unitization of a non-unital Banach Algebra can be found in [9]. Let $\|\cdot\|_{op} : \mathfrak{A} \oplus \mathbb{C} \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ be defined by

$$(1) \quad \|(a, \lambda)\|_{op} = \sup\{\|ax + \lambda x\|, \|xa + \lambda x\| : x \in \mathfrak{A}, \|x\| \leq 1\}.$$

Straightforward calculations show $\|\cdot\|_{op}$ is a seminorm on $\mathfrak{A} \oplus \mathbb{C}$. As in [5], we call $\|\cdot\|_{op}$ the operator seminorm on $\mathfrak{A} \oplus \mathbb{C}$. If $\|\cdot\|_{op}$ is a norm on $\mathfrak{A} \oplus \mathbb{C}$, then we let $\mathfrak{A}_{op}^+$ denote the normed algebra $\mathfrak{A} \oplus \mathbb{C}$ with addition, scalar multiplication and multiplication defined as in $\mathfrak{A}_1^+$ and with norm $\|\cdot\|_{op}$.

The norm $\|\cdot\|$ on $\mathfrak{A}$ is by definition regular if for all $a \in \mathfrak{A}$,

$$(2) \quad \|a\| = \sup\{\|ax\|, \|xa\| : x \in \mathfrak{A}, \|x\| \leq 1\}$$

[8]. For any $a \in \mathfrak{A}$, $\sup\{\|ax\|, \|xa\| : x \in \mathfrak{A}, \|x\| \leq 1\} \leq \|a\|$. This means $\|\cdot\|$ is regular on $\mathfrak{A}$ if and only if $\|a\| \leq \sup\{\|ax\|, \|xa\| : x \in \mathfrak{A}, \|x\| \leq 1\}$ for all $a \in \mathfrak{A}$. Moreover for any $a \in \mathfrak{A}$, $\|(a, 0)\|_1 = \|a\|$ and $\|(a, 0)\|_{op} = \sup\{\|ax\|, \|xa\| : x \in \mathfrak{A}, \|x\| \leq 1\}$. So

$$(3) \quad \|\cdot\| \text{ is regular on } \mathfrak{A} \text{ if and only if } \|(a, 0)\|_1 \leq \|(a, 0)\|_{op}$$

for all $a \in \mathfrak{A}$.

Clearly if $\mathfrak{A}$ has unit 1 with $\|1\| = 1$, then $\|\cdot\|$ is regular on $\mathfrak{A}$. But if $\mathfrak{A}$ is non-unital, then $\|\cdot\|$ may not be regular. For consider ℓ^1

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 46H99, 47A12.

Key words and phrases. Banach Algebras, regular norm, operator seminorm.

with componentwise multiplication and let $\|\cdot\|_{\ell^1}$ be the ℓ^1 norm. Let $x = \{\frac{1}{n^2}\}_{n=1}^\infty$. Then for any $y = \{y_j\}_{j=1}^\infty \in \ell^1$ with $\|y\|_{\ell^1} \leq 1$, $\|yx\|_{\ell^1} = \|xy\|_{\ell^1} = \sum_{j=1}^\infty \frac{|y_j|}{j^2} \leq \sum_{j=1}^\infty |y_j| \leq 1$. It follows that $\sup\{\|xy\|_{\ell^1}, \|yx\|_{\ell^1} : \|y\|_{\ell^1} \leq 1\} \leq 1$. But $\|x\|_{\ell^1} = \frac{\pi^2}{6}$.

The notion of a regular norm and its relation with $\|\cdot\|_{op}$ has been studied in [1, 5, 8]. In [5] the following question was asked: "is the regularity of $\|\cdot\|$ equivalent to $\mathfrak{A}_{op}^+$ being a Banach Algebra?" We will prove here that the answer is yes if $\mathfrak{A}$ is commutative. More specifically, we will prove the following theorem.

Theorem 1.1. Let $\mathfrak{A}$ be a complex commutative Banach Algebra with no unit and with norm $\|\cdot\|$. Let $\mathfrak{A}_1^+$ and $\mathfrak{A}_{op}^+$ be as above. Then $\|\cdot\|$ is regular on $\mathfrak{A}$ if and only if $\mathfrak{A}_{op}^+$ is a complex Banach Algebra with respect to $\|\cdot\|_{op}$.

2. NUMERICAL RANGE

In order to prove 1.1, we will need the notion of the numerical range of an element in a complex unital Banach Algebra. Let $\mathfrak{B}$ be any unital complex Banach Algebra with unit $1_{\mathfrak{B}}$, norm $\|\cdot\|_{\mathfrak{B}}$ and dual space $\mathfrak{B}'$. Let $S(\mathfrak{B}) = \{y \in \mathfrak{B} : \|y\|_{\mathfrak{B}} = 1\}$. For any $y \in S(\mathfrak{B})$ let $D(\mathfrak{B}, y) = \{f \in \mathfrak{B}' : \|f\| = f(y) = 1\}$. The elements of $D(\mathfrak{B}, 1_{\mathfrak{B}})$ are called the normalized states (on $\mathfrak{B}$) [2]. For any $b \in \mathfrak{B}$ and $y \in S(\mathfrak{B})$, the sets $V(\mathfrak{B}, b)$ and $V(\mathfrak{B}, b, y)$ are defined by

$$(4) \quad \begin{aligned} V(\mathfrak{B}, b, y) &= \{f(by) : f \in D(\mathfrak{B}, y)\} \\ \text{and } V(\mathfrak{B}, b) &= \bigcup_{y \in S(\mathfrak{B})} V(\mathfrak{B}, b, y). \end{aligned}$$

$V(\mathfrak{B}, b)$ is called the numerical range of b . Also let $\sigma_{\mathfrak{B}}(b)$ be the spectrum of $b \in \mathfrak{B}$ and let $\text{co}(\sigma_{\mathfrak{B}}(b))$ be the convex hull of $\sigma_{\mathfrak{B}}(b)$.

We will need the following results in order to prove 1.1. The first two are proved in [2].

Lemma 2.1. Let $\mathfrak{B}$ be a unital complex Banach Algebra with unit $1_{\mathfrak{B}}$. Then for any $b \in \mathfrak{B}$, $V(\mathfrak{B}, b) = V(\mathfrak{B}, b, 1_{\mathfrak{B}})$.

Theorem 2.2. Let $\mathfrak{B}$ be a unital complex Banach Algebra with unit $1_{\mathfrak{B}}$ and norm $\|\cdot\|_{\mathfrak{B}}$. Let $\mathcal{N}_{\mathfrak{B}}$ be the set of all algebra norms p on $\mathfrak{B}$ equivalent to $\|\cdot\|_{\mathfrak{B}}$ such that $p(1_{\mathfrak{B}}) = 1$ and $p(bc) \leq p(c)p(b)$ for all $c, b \in \mathfrak{B}$. For each $p \in \mathcal{N}_{\mathfrak{B}}$, let $V_p(\mathfrak{B}, b)$ be the numerical range of $b \in \mathfrak{B}$ with p replacing $\|\cdot\|_{\mathfrak{B}}$. Then for all $b \in \mathfrak{B}$

$$\text{co}(\sigma_{\mathfrak{B}}(b)) = \bigcap_{p \in \mathcal{N}_{\mathfrak{B}}} V_p(\mathfrak{B}, b)$$

The next theorem is proved in [6].

Theorem 2.3. Let $\mathfrak{B}$ be a unital complex commutative Banach Algebra and let $f \in \mathfrak{B}'$. Then $f \in D(\mathfrak{B}, 1_{\mathfrak{B}})$ if and only if $f(b) \in \text{co}(\sigma_{\mathfrak{B}}(b))$ for all $b \in \mathfrak{B}$.

The next lemma is easy to prove.

Lemma 2.4. If $\mathfrak{B}$ is a non-unital complex Banach Algebra with norm $\|\cdot\|_{\mathfrak{B}}$, then for all $b \in \mathfrak{B}$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$,

$$V(\mathfrak{B}_1^+, (b, \lambda)) = \|b\|_{\mathfrak{B}} \overline{\mathbb{D}} \times \{\lambda\}$$

where $\|b\|_{\mathfrak{B}} \overline{\mathbb{D}} \times \{\lambda\} = \{(\|b\|_{\mathfrak{B}} w, \lambda) : w \in \overline{\mathbb{D}}\}$.

3. PROOF OF 1.1

Proof. ($\Leftarrow$) Assume $\|\cdot\|_{op}$ is a norm and $\mathfrak{A}_{op}^+$ is a complex Banach algebra with respect to $\|\cdot\|_{op}$. Note that $\mathfrak{A}_{op}^+$ is commutative as $\mathfrak{A}$ is. Let $\Psi : \mathfrak{A}_1^+ \rightarrow \mathfrak{A}_{op}^+$ be defined by $\Psi((a, \lambda)) = (a, \lambda)$. Clearly Ψ is bijective, linear and since $\|(a, \lambda)\|_{op} \leq \|(a, \lambda)\|_1$ for all $(a, \lambda) \in \mathfrak{A} \oplus \mathbb{C}$, $\|\Psi\| \leq 1$. Then by the Open Mapping Theorem [7], $\|\Psi^{-1}\| < \infty$. Hence there exists $\delta > 0$ so that for all $(a, \lambda) \in \mathfrak{A} \oplus \mathbb{C}$,

$$(5) \quad \|(a, \lambda)\|_{op} \leq \|(a, \lambda)\|_1 \leq \delta \|(a, \lambda)\|_{op}.$$

Thus $\|\cdot\|_{op}$ and $\|\cdot\|_1$ are equivalent.

Let $\mathcal{N}$ be the set of all algebra norms p on $\mathfrak{A} \oplus \mathbb{C}$ equivalent to $\|\cdot\|_1$ such that $p((0, 1)) = 1$, $p((a, \lambda)(b, \gamma)) \leq p((a, \lambda))p((b, \gamma))$ for all $a, b \in \mathfrak{A}$ and $\lambda, \gamma \in \mathbb{C}$. By (5) and the fact that $\|(0, 1)\|_{op} = 1$, $\|\cdot\|_{op} \in \mathcal{N}$. Then by 2.2,

$$(6) \quad \text{co}(\sigma_{\mathfrak{A}_1^+}(a, \lambda)) \subseteq V(\mathfrak{A}_{op}^+, (a, \lambda))$$

for all $(a, \lambda) \in \mathfrak{A}_{op}^+$. Moreover by 2.3 and 2.1,

$$(7) \quad V(\mathfrak{A}_1^+, (a, \lambda)) = \text{co}(\sigma_{\mathfrak{A}_1^+}((a, \lambda)))$$

for all $(a, \lambda) \in \mathfrak{A}_{op}^+$. Thus by (6)

$$V(\mathfrak{A}_1^+, (a, \lambda)) \subseteq V(\mathfrak{A}_{op}^+, (a, \lambda))$$

for all $(a, \lambda) \in \mathfrak{A}_{op}^+$. Interchanging $\mathfrak{A}_1^+$ with $\mathfrak{A}_{op}^+$ and $\|\cdot\|_1$ with $\|\cdot\|_{op}$ in the above argument yields

$$V(\mathfrak{A}_{op}^+, (a, \lambda)) \subseteq V(\mathfrak{A}_1^+, (a, \lambda))$$

for all $(a, \lambda) \in \mathfrak{A}_{op}^+$. Hence

$$(8) \quad V(\mathfrak{A}_1^+, (a, \lambda)) = V(\mathfrak{A}_{op}^+, (a, \lambda))$$

for all $(a, \lambda) \in \mathfrak{A}_{op}^+$.

By 2.4

$$(9) \quad V(\mathfrak{A}_1^+, (a, 0)) = \|a\| \overline{\mathbb{D}}$$

for every $a \in \mathfrak{A}$. Also for any $F((a, 0)) \in V(\mathfrak{A}_{op}^+, (a, 0))$, $|F(a, 0)| \leq \|(a, 0)\|_{op}$. It follows from this and (9) that $\|a\| \leq \|(a, 0)\|_{op}$ for all $a \in \mathfrak{A}$. That is $\|(a, 0)\|_1 \leq \|(a, 0)\|_{op}$ for all $a \in \mathfrak{A}$. Therefore by (3), $\|\cdot\|$ is regular on $\mathfrak{A}$.

($\Rightarrow$) Assume $\|\cdot\|$ is regular on $\mathfrak{A}$. As stated in Section 1, $\|\cdot\|_{op}$ is a seminorm. Let $(a, \lambda) \in \mathfrak{A} \oplus \mathbb{C}$ and assume $\|(a, \lambda)\|_{op} = 0$. Then for all $x \in \mathfrak{A}$ with $\|x\| \leq 1$, $\|ax + \lambda x\| = 0$. Hence

$$(10) \quad ax + \lambda x = 0 \text{ and } ax = -\lambda x$$

for all $x \in \mathfrak{A}$.

Suppose $\lambda \neq 0$. Then (10) implies $(\frac{a}{-\lambda})x = x$ for all $x \in \mathfrak{A}$. So $\mathfrak{A}$ has a unit. This is a contradiction since by assumption $\mathfrak{A}$ is not unital. Thus $\lambda = 0$ and $ax = 0$ for all $x \in \mathfrak{A}$. Then since $\|\cdot\|$ is regular, $\|a\| = 0$ and $a = 0$. Therefore $(a, \lambda) = (0, 0)$ and $\|\cdot\|_{op}$ is a norm on $\mathfrak{A} \oplus \mathbb{C}$.

Now we will prove $\mathfrak{A}_{op}^+$ is complete. This part is based on some calculations used in the proof of Theorem 2.3 from [3]. Let $\mathfrak{L}(\mathfrak{A})$ be the space of all bounded linear operators on $\mathfrak{A}$ with operator norm $\|\cdot\|$. For each $(a, \lambda) \in \mathfrak{A} \oplus \mathbb{C}$, let $L_{(a, \lambda)} : \mathfrak{A} \rightarrow \mathfrak{A}$ be defined by

$$L_{(a, \lambda)}(x) = ax + \lambda x.$$

It is easy to see that $L_{(a, \lambda)}$ is linear for all $(a, \lambda) \in \mathfrak{A} \oplus \mathbb{C}$ and

$$(11) \quad \|L_{(a, \lambda)}\| = \|(a, \lambda)\|_{op}.$$

Thus $L_{(a, \lambda)} \in \mathfrak{L}(\mathfrak{A})$ for all $(a, \lambda) \in \mathfrak{A}_{op}^+$.

Let $\mathcal{B} = \{L_{(a, \lambda)} : (a, \lambda) \in \mathfrak{A}_{op}^+\}$ and $\Gamma : \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ by $\Gamma(L_{(a, \lambda)}) = \lambda$. Now $\mathcal{B}$ is a subalgebra of $\mathfrak{L}(\mathfrak{A})$ and Γ is a well-defined linear map with $\ker(\Gamma) = \{L_{(a, 0)} : a \in \mathfrak{A}\}$. Since $\|\cdot\|$ is regular on $\mathfrak{A}$, (11) tells us $\|L_{(a, 0)}\| = \|a\|$. This implies the mapping $a \mapsto L_{(a, 0)}$ is an surjective isometry on $\mathfrak{A}$ and hence $\ker(\Gamma)$ is a closed subalgebra of $\mathcal{B}$. It follows that Γ is a bounded linear transformation.

Now let $\{(a_n, \lambda_n)\}_n$ be a Cauchy sequence in $\mathfrak{A}_{op}^+$. Let $\epsilon > 0$. Then there exist $N_1 > 0$ so that

$$(12) \quad n, m \geq N_1 \Rightarrow \|(a_n, \lambda_n) - (a_m, \lambda_m)\|_{op} < \epsilon.$$

Also by (11), $\{L_{(a_n, \lambda_n)}\}_n$ is Cauchy in $\mathcal{B}$. Thus by the above work $\{\Gamma(L_{(a_n, \lambda_n)})\}_n = \{\lambda_n\}_n$ is a Cauchy sequence in $\mathbb{C}$. So there exist $N_2 > 0$ such that

$$(13) \quad m, n \geq N_2 \Rightarrow |\lambda_n - \lambda_m| < \epsilon.$$

Now for any $x \in \mathfrak{A}$ with $\|x\| \leq 1$,

$$(14) \quad \begin{aligned} \|a_n x - a_m x\| &\leq \|a_n x + \lambda_n x - (a_m x + \lambda_m x)\| + \|\lambda_n x - \lambda_m x\| \\ &\leq \|a_n x + \lambda_n x - (a_m x + \lambda_m x)\| + |\lambda_n - \lambda_m|. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that

$$(15) \quad n, m \geq \max\{N_1, N_2\} \Rightarrow \|a_n x - a_m x\| < 2\epsilon$$

for all $x \in \mathfrak{A}$ with $\|x\| \leq 1$. Thus since $\|\cdot\|$ is regular, $\{a_n\}_n$ is Cauchy in $\mathfrak{A}$. So $\{a_n\}_n$ converges in $\mathfrak{A}$ to some $a \in \mathfrak{A}$. Moreover $\{\lambda_n\}_n$ converges to $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ as $\{\lambda_n\}_n$ is Cauchy. Hence $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|(a_n, \lambda_n) - (a, \lambda)\|_1 = 0$. Therefore since $\|\cdot\|_{op} \leq \|\cdot\|_1$, $\{(a_n, \lambda_n)\}_n$ converges to (a, λ) with respect to $\|\cdot\|_{op}$ and $\mathfrak{A}_{op}^+$ is a Banach Algebra. $\square$

4. COROLLARY

The following proposition is proved in [5].

Proposition 4.1. Among all the unital norms on $\mathfrak{A} \oplus \mathbb{C}$ which extend the norm $\|\cdot\|$ on $\mathfrak{A}$, $\|\cdot\|_1$ is maximal and if $\|\cdot\|$ is regular, then $\|\cdot\|_{op}$ is minimal.

Combining this proposition with 1.1 and (5) yields the following Corollary.

Corollary 4.2. If $\mathfrak{A}$ is a commutative complex non-unital Banach Algebra, then all unital norms on $\mathfrak{A} \oplus \mathbb{C}$ are equivalent $\Leftrightarrow \mathfrak{A}_{op}^+$ is a Banach Algebra $\Leftrightarrow \|\cdot\|$ is regular on $\mathfrak{A}$.

REFERENCES

- [1] Arhippainen, J.. and Müller, V. Norms on Unitizations of Banach Algebras Revisited. *Acta Mathematica Hungarica*. 114 no.13(2007): 201-204.
- [2] Bonsall, F.F. and Duncan, J. *Numerical Ranges of Operators on Normed Spaces and of Elements of Normed Algebras*. Volume 1. New York: Cambridge University Press 1971.
- [3] Gaur, A.K. and Husain, T. Spatial Numerical Ranges Of Elements Of Banach Algebras. *International Journal of Mathematics and Mathematical Sciences*. 12 no.4 (1989): 633-640.
- [4] Gaur, A. K. and A.V. Kovářík. Norms on Unitizations of Banach Algebras. *Proceedings of the American mathematical Society*. 117 no.1 (1993): 111-113.
- [5] Gaur, Abhay K. and Kovářík, Zdislav V. Norms, States And Numerical Ranges On Direct Sums. *Analysis*. 11 no.2-3 (1991): 155-164.
- [6] Golfarshchi, Fatemeh. and Khalilzadeh, Ali Asghar. Numerical Radius Preserving Linear Maps On Banach Algebras. *International Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics*. 88 no.2 (2013): 233-238.

- [7] Rudin, Walter. *Real and Complex Analysis*. 3rd edition. McGraw-Hill Science/Engineering/Math, 1987.
- [8] Takahasi, Sin-Ei. Takeshi, Miura. and Hayata, Takahiro. An Equality Condition of Arhippainen-Müller's Estimate and its Related Problem. *Taiwanese Journal of Mathematics*. 15 no.1 (2011): 165-169.
- [9] Zhu, Kehe. *An Introduction to Operator Algebras*. Boca Raton: CRC Press Inc 2000.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY AT BUFFALO, BUFFALO, NY
14260 , USA

E-mail address: `adamoren@buffalo.edu`